

Notes on Configuration Aerodynamics

OK Time to start off about Drag Bookkeeping!

Drag is separated into types:

Parasite drag, and induced drag. Parasite drag comprises of Friction/Form drag, which is from skin friction, pressure drag (sometimes called "form drag" and additional profile drag due to lift (drag from 2-D airfoils at Lift)

There's then Interference Drag, which is drag due to intersection geometry.

There is the drag itself, then the drag due to lift there as well.

The last is wave drag, which is drag due to generation of shock waves. Drag due to volume and drag due to lift.

Induced drag is drag due to lift generated by vorticity.

Anyway, as humans we like to reduce problems down to their simplest parts.

There's a little bit of arbitrariness to it.

A model for calculating PARASITE DRAG is to partition the drag into....flat plate skin friction, "wetted area," and form factor.

$$D_0 = q_{\infty} * \sum (C_{fi} * S_{wet} * F_{Fi})$$

Q_{∞} is dynamic pressure, C_{fi} is the equivalent flat plate skin friction coefficient, based on the Reynold's number, and F_{Fi} is form factor, which captures the effect of thickness and other parameters on "form drag." (Pressure drag due to the boundary layer.)

S_{wet} = effectively just the surface area of the aircraft.

But....we like to normalize things. So instead of D_0 , let's do C_{d0}

$$C_{d0} = D_0 / q_{\infty} * S_{ref}$$

Where S_{ref} is the wing reference area by tradition

OK LET'S BREAK IT DOWN

Cf – the Flat Plate Skin Friction Coefficient

Cf – the shear stress, τ , along the surface of the body. τ is a result of the boundary layer.

Along the body of the aircraft, fluid accelerates to the freestream velocity in the boundary layer.

If μ is the coefficient of viscosity, $\tau = \mu * dv/dy$

Cf is the integral of τ over the whole airfoil. (x.

Cf : LAMINAR VS. TURBULENT

(turbulent is sometimes called “fuller”

dv/dy at $y=0$ for a laminar boundary layer is less than dv/dy for $y=0$ at a turbulent boundary layer.

So, τ for laminar is less than τ for turbulent.

However, laminar boundary layers are more likely to separate, which causes a HUGE drag increase!

If the laminar flow remains attached, then, generally speaking, $C_{f,lam}$ is less than $C_{f,turb}$.

Here are some semi-empirical Cf for laminar vs. turbulent flow:

$C_f = 1.328 / \sqrt{Re_c}$ for laminar

$C_f = 0.074 / (Re_c)^{1/5}$ for turbulent

Re_c is Reynold's number based on averaged chord c characteristic length.

$Re_c = \rho_{\infty} * v_{\infty} * c / \mu_{\infty}$. This is the “global” Reynold's number

Generally speaking, as Reynold's number increases, Cf decreases.

The decreasing slope for laminar is steeper in theory and for empirical data. However, the turbulent slope starts with a much higher Re .

Accounting for transition in estimating C_f ...

It's really hard to estimate it! So sometimes you just guess.

Flat Plate skin friction coefficient

Knowing/guessing transition location

1. Compute C_f , turb over whole airfoil.

By using Re_c

2. Compute C_f over the laminar portion using Re_{xtr}
3. 3. Compute C_f , lam over laminar portion by using Re_{xtr}
4. 4. Determine the total C_f as....
5. $C_f = (x_{tr}/c) * C_{f,lam,xtr} + (C_{f,turbulent,c} - (x_{tr}/x)) C_{f,turb,xt}$

A NEW DAY

OK time to talk about Form Factor.

Things are actually not flat plates in reality@

Silly definition of form factor:

The ratio of the drag coefficient over one surface with the skin friction coefficient of a flat plate.

$$FF = C_{df, surface} / C_{f, flat plate}$$

Form factor is some multiplier to account for "thickness effects."

EMPIRICAL FORM FACTOR METHODS

$$FF = 1 + 2(t/c) + 60(t/c)^4$$

FF is, in general, a function of ALL geometric features as well as Mach number and Reynold's number.

$$FF = f(t/c, AT, TR, \lambda, M)$$

Generally commercial airlines have a $t/c = 0.16$.

Fighter jets have thinner airfoils.

FF can be a factor of total wing design and use different functions and inputs./

How do you choose from all these different form factor equations??? Omg.

The interesting thing about empiricism is that if you're building the airplane and testing in a wind tunnel, wind tunnel tests are superior to CFD.

Be mindful of which windtunnel data you're using through!

We'll just use the Horner model for Form factor

.

So what about Non-wing stuff?

How about the Fuselage?

For a roughly cylindrical fuselage:

$$FF = 1 + 60/f^3 + f/400$$

F = fineness ratio, length to diameter

$$F = 1/(\sqrt{4/\pi} * A_{\max}) \text{ or } f = l/\text{diameter (if circle)}$$

L = length

A_{\max} = max cross sectional area along the fuselage

Basically the air goes around and spreads out over the fuselage.

What is the tradeoff?

Sometimes the S_{wet} is a factor.

The Quest Air Venture is essentially egg-shaped.

Form factors for flow-through Nacelles

$$FF = 1 + 0.35/f$$

INTERFERENCE FACTORS

Parts where the aircraft components intersect

$$C_{d0} = \sum (C_{fi} * (S_{wet_i}/S_{ref}) * FF_i * Q_i)$$

Q is the traditional variable used for interference factors

Q is different for each part. If we talk about wing and fuselage, we probably would assign fuselage 1.00 and wing 1.02. Typically we ascribe Q to only one part.

For tails, Q_i may be 1.03 to 1.08;

For nacelles, Q might be as high as 1.2.

EXCRESCENCES/"CRUD" DRAG

Parasite drag is driven by the subtle details

-rivets, bugs

-antennae, inlets, flap gaps

-flexibility of the structure

-typically as high as 1.3

VERY hard to estimate.

$$C_{d0} = 1.3 * \sum(C_{fi} * (S_{wet}/S_{ref}) * F_{Fi} * Q_i)$$

Caution: These parasite drag lectures are valid for....incompressible subsonic flow

Make sure your airfoil / wind tunnel model is valid!

-Method of STRIPS is a slightly higher fidelity technique (XFOIL)

What if XFOIL STILL isn't good enough?

-CFD – build a good 3D model

-Wind Tunnel Test – Find an adequate wind tunnel

-Flight test – Build a plane!

WAVE DRAG ESTIMATION METHODS

Wave drag – due to compressibility of air

Low Mach# have no wave drag

A new type of pressure drag happens at high speed. This is embedded in fundamental equations of flow.

It's a change from elliptical PDEs to hyperbolic PDEs.

2 components: according to humans.

-Wave drag due to LIFT

-Wave drag due to thickness

Wave drag due to Lift

-we model as a flat plate at AoA

Wave drag due to thickness

-model with a diamond airfoil.

At higher speeds, you get shock waves. On the flat plate model, at a positive AoA, there is an oblique shock on the bottom of the flat plate. And high pressure on the bottom. There is an expansion wave at the top of the flat plate, so there is a lower pressure distribution across the flat plate. This causes a force imbalance in the upward direction, and the component facing away from V-inf is DRAG.

The interesting trend is that if you study supersonic thin airfoil theory, you can make equations like this:

$$C_l = 4\alpha / (\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1})$$

$$C_d = 4\alpha^2 / \sqrt{M^2 - 1}$$

Almost all drag due to lift is a square problem.

$$C_d = C_l^2 * \sqrt{M^2 - 1} / 4$$

OK TIME FOR WAVE DRAG DUE TO THICKNESS

Vertical forces balance out due to symmetry

But there is a difference of horizontal components of pressure

This induces a force in the direction against V_inf, therefore is drag.

A BIG TOPIC in transonic and supersonic flow is – in transonic flight, we talk about boundary layer and shock wave interaction.

Sadly, that is outside the scope of this class.

“Wave drag is an inviscid phenomenon for our purposes here.

.....
Empirical Transonic Wave Drag Methods

This is called Lock's 4th power method

$C_{dw} = 0$ if $M < M_{cr}$

$C_{dw} = 20 \cdot (M - M_{cr})^4$ if $M \geq M_{cr}$

M_{cr} is the minimum M_{inf} where $M = 1$ over some portion of the airfoil

This method is DEFINITELY not exact but it's enough.

We need to know M_{cr} , which is a characteristic of the airplane.

Semi-empirical method of estimating M_{cr} and M_{dd} is the KORN EQUATION

KORN EQUATION:

$M_{dd} \cdot \cos \Lambda_{0.5} + C_l / (10 \cdot \cos^2 \Lambda_{0.5}) + t/c / (\cos \Lambda_{0.5}) = K_A$

M_{DD} = drag divergence Mach# Mach# at which drag rapidly increases

K_A = airfoil technology factor $K_A = 0.87$ for conventional airfoils, $K_A = 0.95$ for supercritical airfoils

t/c = thickness to chord ratio

C_l = airfoil section lift coeff

$\Lambda_{0.5}$ = the wing sweep measured from the half-chord line

From this, we can estimate M_{cr} as

$M_{cr} = M_{DD} = \sqrt[3]{0.1/80}$

TRANSONIC WAVE DRAG estimate via method of strips

OK how do you apply this? B/C not every part of the wing is the same.

You can use “method of strips/”

SUPERCRITICAL AIRFOILS

Whitcomb’s supersonic airfoil shape is a thing,

Also sweep angle is another thing

Transonic wave drag and swept wings

$$M_{per} = M_{\infty} \cos \Lambda$$

$$M_{Dd, swept} = M_{Dd, airfoil} / \cos \Lambda$$

For supersonic conditions....

“Mach cone angle”

$$\mu = \arcsin(1/M_{\infty})$$

ALSO there’s this thing called the area rule.

ONTO INDUCED DRAG

$$C_{di} = C_L^2 / \pi e AR$$

E is the span efficiency factor

The equation is a result of lifting line theory

Elliptical lift has $e = 1$

Typical is $e = 0.95 - 0.99$

Sometimes this equation is bundled in with parasite drag

$$CDI = CI^2 / (\pi * e_0 AR)$$

e_0 = Oswald efficiency factor. It's about 0.75 to 0.85

Relationship between e and e_0

$$1/e_0 = C_{d2} * \pi AR + 1/e$$

There are several approaches to designing for induced drag.

“Just pick an e or e_0 .”

Can be done from historical data.

2

Find an e from a specified lift distribution thing and run a code

3

Find e from a specified lift distribution.

You can get an elliptical distribution by controlling either $c(y)$ or $c(l)$.

You might not want elliptical lift distribution.

Why? Stall characteristics.

Load distribution

R.T. Jones and Prandtl figured out some shape/lift distribution related to bending moment.

RBM (roto bending moment) and came up with eRBM and equations related to that.

If you choose lift distribution shape, you can get e .

$$\Delta = 8(e^{RBM} - 1)^2$$

$$E = 1/(1 + \Delta)$$

How does elliptical distribution lead to stall?

Stall occurs when $c_l(y) = c_{lmax}(y)$



Drag polars

So far, we have covered types of drag

-Profile Drag, parasite drag of an airfoil,
Pressure drag and skin prediction

-/Induced drag

And Wave drag

ALL types of drag depends on lift, actually!!!!

-Profile drag, induced drag,.....the ALL have quadratic relationships, too!

SO.

The LIFT NEEDS DETERMINES DRAG

“Drag is the price we pay for flying”

We rarely want to maximize Lift.

We need a minimum Lift for the weight of the plane. And for that we want minimized Drag.

Drag Polar

Is $C_d = f(C_l)$ OR other non-dimensional parameters, such as M and Re .

However, it is usually plotted as C_l vs. C_d , though C_l is shown as the y-axis.

Our simplest model is a quadratic.

It's possible to have a complicated, 3-parameter or more drag polar.

The best thing is to just have a table of numbers.

The 2-parameter drag polar:

$$C_d = C_{d0} + K C_l^2$$

Each point corresponds to a different a/q

3- parameter drag polar

$$C_d = C_{d, \text{mindrag}} + K(C_l - C_{l, \text{mindrag}})^2$$

EDET is this empirical drag estimation technique used in a computer program called FLOPS.

.....
ENOUGH about drag

Time for Engine performance.

Beginning with the Thrust equation

$$T = \dot{m} (v_j - v_{\infty}) + (\text{pressure terms})$$

Difference between velocities

If you extend the stream tube out far enough, bypass flow stuff doesn't really count.

Pressure terms mostly come in to play with supersonic exhaust flow and especially rockets.

Looks like there are two ways to make thrust...

Either have a very high \dot{m} and low v_j or a low \dot{m} and a high v_j .

What determines \dot{m} ?

-nigness

-propellers – they take in a lot of flow with relatively little v_j

What about a lot of v_j ?

Small turbojets do that.

If you raise m_i , that's more efficient, which connects with... FUEL CONSUMPTION!

The way we measure fuel consumption is connected to engine efficiency.

$$\text{TSFC} = \dot{W}_{\text{dotf}} / T$$

Where \dot{W}_{dotf} is fuel flow rate.

These are normalized metrics

We also have power specific consumption

$$\text{SFC} = \dot{W}_{\text{dotf}} / P$$

The power is engine shaft power.

These are appropriate for piston engines and turboprops.

TSFC is appropriate for turbojets and turbofans.

Some assumptions and simplifications we can make.

Maximum T is reasonable constant for M , subsonic. For supersonic, Maximum T varies kind of linearly with M .

There's also this very simple model for Thrust v. altitude:

$$T = T_0(\rho/\rho_0)$$

BUT THESE ARE BAD!!!! Even though these are bad, they don't ignore thrust variation with Altitude.

SO.

Fuel burn varies in strange ways with Mach number.

TSFC and SFC fuel flow rate in pounds per hour, normalized by thrust..... this takes the scale of the engine out!

Simply... for $M \ll 1$, TSFC increases linearly with Mach.

For approximation, TSFC does not vary with altitude. (EXCEPT THAT IT DOES)

In conclusion....These back-of-the-envelope calculations are Bad!

We need what's called an engine deck.

Of "5-column data" which is the "old-school" way of calling it.

It comes from the engine performance engineers.

The 5 columns are M, Alt, Throttle Settings, Thrust, fuel flow or TSFC

Sometimes there is a temperature offset.

What is "Power Code?"

-Well, the engine output is chosen by the pilot, actually.

-Throttle affects TSFC, Thrust, and fuel flow.

Settings: Power code (PC) (in a military context)

Power lever angle (PLA) (for a commercial context)

POWER CODE

Power code is an index of variables.

The variables describe several conditions

Power code 20 – Ground Idle – this is the minimum stable operating point of the engine. Alternate name: GI.

Power Code 21, Flight idle. This is the min stable operating point of the engine. FI

Power code 50: Military Power (max dry)

PC 60 Min afterburner

PC 100

Max power

(max wet)

The engine performance engineer needs to define all of these and do their homework.

If you want to put in a Power Code in between 21 and 50....

What you do is $F_{target}(PC) = \frac{PC-21}{(50-21)} * (F_{iam} - F_{flightidle}) + F_{flightidle}$

This is a linear interpolation.

If you want to fly in cruise, you know the Thrust and the drag. What you need to do is figure out the power code!

Now – that's an engine deck.

You can use it for turbojet, turbofans, turboprop, and reciprocating prop.

You can create a separate table for propeller performance or make your engine deck larger.

OK SO...why do engines behave the way they do?

Engine control is really complicated. Has to be designed so that engine doesn't overheat, flame out, overspool, etc.

Sometimes you'll see discontinuities in data. This is due to control input.

TWO TERMS:

On-design

-play with design and see what happens

Off-design – engine is fixed. Make sure everything is within operation capabilities.

Example:

On design.... Given would be: M_{inf} , Altitude, P_{t3}/P_{t2} , f , go ahead and FIND A_9/A_8 , F/Wa , TSFC.

For Off-design, given M_{inf} , Altitude, f , and A_9/A_8 , find P_{t3}/P_{t2} , F/Wa and TSFC..

This is much harder and makes the work iterative. This is how you generate the data for an engine deck. It's usually proprietary!

Engine operating line is a graph of $W\sqrt{\theta}/\Delta$ v.s. P_3/P_2 . Where $W = \dot{m}$, $\theta = \text{temp ratio}$, $\Delta = \text{pressure ratio}$.

Now time to talk about engine /airplane performance.

Starting simple with cruise flight:

Sort out EOM for cruise flights.

The richness of the problem is how the flight forces vary across the flight envelope. There is the notion of equilibrium airspeeds.

For steady-level flight, $T=D$ and $L=W$.

This tells us when to substitute one thing for another.

We can make it more complicated if we want to, but basically this is it.

ANYWAY, LOOK AT THIS!

Graph of V_{inf} vs. Max thrust.

It's like a buck-shape.

Where the TA crosses the T_{max} graph at two points is the $V_{equilibrium}$

.....
So, for cruise flight: how can we find V_{eq} numerically?

TA is not a straight line.

So we use "root finding" methods, where the fcn equals/reaches zero.

Basic approach: use root-finding algorithm to find the speed at which the expression $(D-T_{max})$ crosses 0.

If $D = T_{max}$, good

If $D > T_{max}$, we're to the right of the intersection

If $D < T_{max}$, we're to the left.

Root-finding algorithms --- there are some

For all methods, we have to compute each Mach # T_{max} and D . T_{max} is power code 50, remember?

Let's look at airspeed C at given M.

Compute $q = \rho v^2 / 2$.

Set power code to 50 and find t_{max} from engine deck.

$[T_{max}, W_{dotf}] = \text{enginedeck}(fxn \text{ inputs})$

For D, we need Cl

$Cl = W / qS$

-call the drag polar in a function.

$CD = \text{dragpolar}(Cl, M, h)$

Then compute $D = qSCD$

Then compare T_{max} to D.

Try M_A

$D(M_A) - T_{max}(M_A) = \text{residual A}$

-residual A < 0

Next guess a second $M_B > M_A$

$D(M_B) - T_{max}(M_B) = \text{residual B}$

Residual B > 0

Drive residual toward zero.

Write the code – this is called the “bisection method”

While $M_B - M_A > \text{tolerance}$ & residual C > tolerance

$M_C = 0.5(M_A + M_B)$

ResidualC = $D(M_C) - T_{max}(M_C)$

If residual $C < 0$

$M_A = M_c$

Else

$M_b = M_c$

End if

End

Resulting Mach number is M_c .

Each time we implement this procedure, we cut the search interval in half, thus the name "bisection."

THE OTHER ROOT-FINDING METHOD: MATLAB FUNCTION FZero.

OR

We can look at a contour plot of excess thrust ($T_{max} - D$) where it crosses zero.

Most of the time, we fly what is best from an efficiency standpoint.

Let's say we want to fly at Mach M .

The engine can definitely produce more thrust than is necessary. In order to fly at that speed, less than max, the throttle needs to be less than Max.

We need to find the "part power" conditions such that $T(M) = D(M)$, $M =$ most efficient

Problem:

For a given true airspeed V or Mach number M , a given altitude h , weight W , find the required engine power setting.

STEPS:

- 1) Find q
- 2) 2) compute $Cl = W/q_s$
- 3) 3) call drag polar
- 4) $CD = \text{dragpolar}(Cl, M, h)$ (you'd have to write this function)
- 5) 4) compute $D = qSCd$

We must require $T = D$

We have this OTHER function called the engine deck.

We can do this by figuring out what power code to call.

(The interpolation equation from before.

The equation can be solved for a given thrust.

$$PC = T - T_{min} / (T_{max} - T_{min}) * (50 - 21) + 21$$

One nuance we need to be careful about...the engine deck only represents 1 engine.

So $T_{tot} = 2 * T_{engine}$.

So we get this function:

$$PC = D / n(\text{engine}) - T_{min} / ((T_{max} - T_{min}) * (50 - 21) + 21).$$

Where n_{eng} = number of engines.

Once you have your power code, you can test it out with an engine deck function.

You should test this by plugging in PC and getting the thrust you expected.

OK SO WHAT?

Well, doing this allows us to calculate fuel burn and fuel efficiency!!!

These are things we care about.

Problems of endurance and range inherently involve integrations.

Important things while talking about fuel burn is TSFC.

We can compute specific endurance and specific range.

Specific endurance = $1 / (\text{Fuel flow rate})$, with units of [hrs/lb]

SE (specific endurance is defined as $1/w_{dotf}$

W_{dotf} = fuel flow into the engine

SE dt/dW_f

Specific RANGE = V/W_{dotf} , units of [nmi / lb]. $SR = dx/dW_f$.

Specific range is the instantaneous efficiency of covering distance.

Specific rRange is exactly fuel mileage.



SKY MAPS

We are about to start on the content that will be the basis of the second mini-project.

What is a sky map?

It is a flight envelope diagram, Sometimes called a Kaiser diagram or thumbprint plot.

It is a contour plot on cartesian axes.

x-axis is M, VTAS or VEAS.

The type of data will fill the axes with contours. The y-axis is altitude.

When plotting, we enforce EOM for cruise.

With that as a backdrop, we can plot almost anything.

Like TSFC, fuel flow, T_{min} , T_{max} , aero data, Cl, Cd, L/D, ML/D

We can also plot specific endurance and specific range.

The other important thing is plotting constraints!

E.g.....max C_l and max T_{excess}

We get limits at a low velocity due to stall.

We also get limits at high Mach numbers due to what's called buffet C_{lmax} .

C_{lmax} varies with airspeed.

We get limits on $T_{available}$ at high altitudes and high mach numbers.